

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,833	C	04/27/2001	Kendall B. Hendrick	350/001/CIP	2755
23874	7590	10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
		AL SYSTEMS, I	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
TUCSON,	VATION PARK DRIVE AZ 85737			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				3724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>∧ γ</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/844,833	HENDRICK ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The AGAILIAIC DATE of this communication and	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or €	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	iminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been re	ceived.					
Attachment(s)	10 priority under 00 0.0.0. 98 121	o and/or 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/844,833

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 8-13, drawn to an automated rotary microtome blade with electronic control means for integrating all of the functions, classified in class 83, subclass
 72.
 - II. Claim 2, drawn to an improved blade supply cartridge with a toothed portion, classified in class 83, subclass 698.11.
 - III. Claims 3-7, drawn to an improved blade supply cartridge with a touch memory device, classified in class 83, subclass 76.7.
 - IV. Claims 14-15, drawn to an automated rotary microtome blade with a fixed support plate, classified in class 83, subclass 915.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of groups I-IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the automated rotary microtome blade with electronic control means for integrating all of the functions of Group I could be used without the improved blade supply cartridge with a toothed portion of Group II, and conversely, the improved blade supply cartridge with a toothed portion of Group II could be used without the automated rotary

Application/Control Number: 09/844,833

Art Unit: 3724

microtome blade with electronic control means for integrating all of the functions of Group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I: Embodiment of Fig. 1-6; and Species II: Embodiment of Fig. 7-8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, may be some claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 3724

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Huw R. Jones on 10/01/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

October 1, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER